How to Write Critiques

You may find it useful to organize a critique into five sections: introduction, summary, assessment of the presentation (on its own terms), your response to the presentation, and conclusion.

The box on below offers guidelines for writing critiques. These guidelines do not constitute a rigid formula. Most professional authors write critiques that do not follow the structure outlined here. Until you are more confident and practiced in writing critiques, however, we suggest you follow these guidelines. They are meant not to restrict you, but rather to provide a workable sequence for writing critiques until a more fully developed set of experiences and authorial instincts are available to guide you.

GUIDELINES FOR WRITING CRITIQUES

• Introduce. Introduce both the passage under analysis and the author. State the author's main argument and the point(s) you intend to make about it.

Provide background material to help your readers understand the relevance or appeal of the passage. This background material might include one or more of the following: an explanation of why the subject is of current interest; a reference to a possible controversy surrounding the subject of the passage or the passage itself; biographical information about the author; an account of the circumstances under which the passage was written; a reference to the intended audience of the passage.

- Summarize. Summarize the author's main points, making sure to state the author's purpose for writing.
- Assess the presentation. Evaluate the validity of the author's presentation, distinct from your points of agreement or disagreement. Comment on the author's success in achieving his or her purpose by reviewing three or four specific points. You might base your review on one or more of the following criteria:

Is the information accurate?

Is the information significant?

Has the author defined terms clearly?

Has the author used and interpreted information fairly?

Has the author argued logically?

- Respond to the presentation. Now it is your turn to respond to the author's views. With which views do you agree? With which do you disagree? Discuss your reasons for agreement and disagreement, when possible tying these reasons to assumptions—both the author's and your own. Where necessary, draw on outside sources to support your ideas.
- Conclude. State your conclusions about the overall validity of the piece—your
 assessment of the author's success at achieving his or her aims and your
 reactions to the author's views. Remind the reader of the weaknesses and
 strengths of the passage.

Here are the other elements of this argument:

Support

- People are spending increasing amounts of time in cyberspace: In 1998, the average Internet user spent more than four hours per week online, a figure that has quadrupled in the past fifteen years.
- College health officials report that excessive Internet use threatens many college students' academic and psychological well-being.
- New kinds of relationships fostered on the Internet often pose challenges to preexisting relationships.

Assumptions

- The communication skills used and the connections formed during Internet contact fundamentally differ from those used and formed during face-to-face contact.
- "Real" connection and a sense of community are sustained by face-toface contact, not by Internet interactions.

For the most part, arguments should be constructed logically so that assumptions link evidence (supporting facts, statistics, and expert opinions) to claims. As we'll see, however, logic is only one component of effective arguments.

MyWritingLab™

Exercise 5.1

Practicing Claim, Support, and Assumption

Devise two sets of claims, support, and assumptions. First, in response to the example above on computer-mediated communication and relationships, write a one-sentence claim addressing the positive impact (or potentially positive impact) of CMC on relationships—whether you personally agree with the claim or not. Then list the supporting statements on which such a claim might rest and the assumption that underlies them. Second, write a claim that states your own position on any debatable topic you choose. Again, devise statements of support and relevant assumptions.

The Three Appeals of Argument: Logos, Ethos, Pathos

Speakers and writers have never relied on logic alone in advancing and supporting their claims. More than 2000 years ago, the Athenian philosopher and rhetorician Aristotle explained how speakers attempting to persuade others to their point of view could achieve their purpose by relying on one or more *appeals*, which he called *logos*, *ethos*, and *pathos*.

Since we frequently find these three appeals employed in political argument, we'll use political examples in the following discussion. All three appeals are also used extensively in advertising, legal cases, business documents, and many other

[logos] is by far the most commonly used appeal. types of argument. Bear in mind that in academic writing, the appeal to logic

rodos

He concludes:

bined): deductive and inductive. support their case. Logical arguments are commonly of two types (often comaudiences, they must argue logically and must supply appropriate evidence to appeals to logic and evidence. If writers and speakers expect to persuade their ing the papers you will write across the curriculum, build almost exclusively on Logos is the rational appeal, the appeal to reason. Academic presentations, includ-

events in Birmingham, Alabama) and statistics to show that this was not the case. of reprisal." Kennedy then provides several specific examples (primarily recent of any color to register and vote in a free election without interference or fear to be backed up by troops" and that "it ought to be possible for American citizens students of any color to attend any public institution they select without having Kennedy begins with the generalizations that it "ought to be possible... for American address to the nation in June 1963 on the need for sweeping civil rights legislation. An example of a deductive argument may be seen in President John F. Kennedy's cites a specific case related to that generalization from which follows a conclusion. Deductive Reasoning The deductive argument begins with a generalization, then

of our daily lives. the Congress, in your state and local legislative body, and, above all, in all the streets. It cannot be quieted by token moves or talk. It is time to act in by repressive police action. It cannot be left to increased demonstrations in We face, therefore, a moral crisis as a country and a people. It cannot be met

Underlying Kennedy's argument is this reasoning:

We must take action to ensure that all Americans enjoy these rights. (claim) Some Americans do not enjoy these rights. (support) All Americans should enjoy certain rights. (assumption)

"Something has to change. We have to stop the crimes that are distorting and year nearly 3.2 felonies will be committed per every police officer." He concluded, there were 3.2 policemen for every felony committed in the United States; this or \$20." He also offered statistical evidence of the increasing crime rate: "In 1951, of young men being viciously murdered for a pair of sneakers, a leather jacket, Robert C. Byrd cited specific examples of rampant crime involving guns: "I read sion from this evidence. For example, in a debate on gun control, former senator but with several pieces of specific evidence. The speaker then draws a concluing. A speaker or writer who argues inductively begins not with a generalization, Inductive Reasoning Another form of logical argumentation is inductive reasondisrupting the way of life for so many innocent, law-respecting Americans. The bill that we are debating today attempts to do just that."

Former senator Edward M. Kennedy also used statistical evidence in arguing for passage of the Racial Justice Act of 1990, which was designed to ensure that minorities are not disproportionately singled out for the death penalty. Kennedy pointed out that between 1973 and 1980, seventeen defendants in Fulton County, Georgia, were charged with killing police officers, but that the only defendant who received the death sentence was a black man. Kennedy also cited statistics to show that "those who killed whites were 4.3 times more likely to receive the death penalty than were killers of blacks" and that "in Georgia, blacks who killed whites received the death penalty 16.7 percent of the time, while whites who killed blacks received the death penalty only 4.2 percent of the time."

Maintaining a Critical Perspective Of course, the mere piling up of evidence does not in itself make the speaker's case. As Donna Cross explains in "Politics: The Art of Bamboozling," politicians are very adept at "card-stacking"—lining up evidence in favor of a conclusion without bothering to mention (or barely mentioning) contrary evidence. And statistics can be selected and manipulated to prove anything, as demonstrated in Darrell Huff's landmark book *How to Lie with Statistics* (1954). Moreover, what appears to be a logical argument may in fact be fundamentally flawed. (See Chapter 2 for a discussion of logical fallacies and faulty reasoning strategies.)

On the other hand, the fact that evidence can be distorted, statistics misused, and logic fractured does not mean that these tools of reason should be dismissed. It means only that audiences have to listen and read critically and to question the use of statistics and other evidence.

MyWritingLab™

Exercise 5.2

Using Deductive and Inductive Logic

Choose an issue currently being debated at your school or a college-related issue about which you are concerned. Write a claim about this issue. Then write two paragraphs addressing your claim—one in which you organize your points deductively (beginning with your claim and following with support) and one in which you organize them inductively (presenting supporting evidence and following with a claim). Possible issues might include college admissions policies, classroom crowding, or grade inflation. Alternatively, you could base your paragraphs on a claim generated in Exercise 5.1.

Ethos

Ethos, or the ethical appeal, is based not on the ethics relating to the subject under discussion, but rather on the ethical status of the person making the argument. A person making an argument must have a certain degree of credibility: That

¹Donna Cross, Word Abuse: How the Words We Use Use Us (New York: Coward, 1979).

person must be of good character, have sound sense, and be qualified to argue based either on expert experience with the subject matter or on carefully conducted research. Students writing in academic settings establish their appeal to ethos by developing presentations that are well organized, carefully reasoned, and thoroughly referenced with source citations. These are the hallmarks of writers and speakers who care deeply about their work. If you care, your audience will and speakers who care deeply about their work. If you care, your audience will

Appeals to ethos are usually most explicit in political contests. For example, Elizabeth Cervantes Barrón, running for senator as the Peace and Freedom candidate, establishes her credibility this way: "I was born and raised in central Los Angeles. I grew up in a multiethnic, multicultural environment where I learned to respect those who were different from me.... I am a teacher and am aware of how cutbacks in education have affected our children and our communities." On the other end of the political spectrum, the American Independent gubernatorial candidate Jerry McCready also begins with an ethical appeal: "As a self-employed businessman, I have learned firsthand what it is like to try to make ends meet in an unstable economy being manipulated by out-of-touch politicians." Both candidates are making an appeal to ethos, an appeal based on the strength of their personal qualities for the office they seek. Both argue, in effect, "Trust me. My experience makes me a credible, knowlboth argue, in effect, "Trust me. My experience makes me a credible, knowlboth argue, in effect, "Trust me. My experience makes me a credible, knowlboth argue, in effect, "Trust me. My experience makes me a credible, knowlboth argue, in effect, "Trust me. My experience makes me a credible, knowlboth argue, in effect, "Trust me. My experience makes me a credible, knowlboth argue, in effect, "Trust me. My experience makes me a credible, knowlboth argue, in effect, "Trust me. My experience makes me a credible, knowlboth argue."

L. A. Kautsman is not running for office but writing an article arguing against socialism as an ideology around which to build societies.² To establish his credibility as someone who understands socialism well enough to criticize it meaningfully, Kautsman begins with an appeal to ethos: "Until recently, I was executive editor of the journal Socialist Review. Before that I worked for the Marxist magazine, Monthly Review. My bookshelves are filled with books of Marxist theory, and I even have a picture of Karl Marx up on my wall." Thus, Kautsman establishes his credentials to argue knowledgeably about Marxism.

Exercise 5.3

"ds_gnitinWyM

edgeable candidate."

Leing Ethos

Return to the claim you used for Exercise 5.2, and write a paragraph in which you use an appeal to ethos to make a case for that claim.

Pathos

Finally, speakers and writers appeal to their audiences by using pathos, an appeal to the emotions. Writers in academic settings rely heavily on the force of logic and evidence and rarely make appeals to pathos. Beyond academic settings, however, appeals to the emotions are commonplace. Nothing is inherently wrong with using an emotional appeal. Indeed, because emotions often move people far more

successfully than reason alone, speakers and writers would be foolish not to use emotion. And it would be a drab, humorless world if human beings were not subject to the sway of feeling as well as reason. The emotional appeal becomes problematic only when it is the *sole* or *primary* basis of the argument.

President Ronald Reagan was a master of emotional appeal. He closed his first inaugural address with a reference to the view from the Capitol to Arlington

National Cemetery, where lie thousands of markers of "heroes":

Under one such marker lies a young man, Martin Treptow, who left his job in a small-town barbershop in 1917 to go to France with the famed Rainbow Division. There, on the western front, he was killed trying to carry a message between battalions under heavy artillery fire. We're told that on his body was found a diary. On the flyleaf under the heading, "My Pledge," he had written these words: "America must win this war. Therefore, I will work, I will save, I will sacrifice, I will endure, I will fight cheerfully and do my utmost, as if the issue of the whole struggle depended on me alone." The crisis we are facing today does not require of us the kind of sacrifice that Martin Treptow and so many thousands of others were called upon to make. It does require, however, our best effort and our willingness to believe in ourselves and to believe in our capacity to perform great deeds, to believe that together with God's help we can and will resolve the problems which now confront us.

Surely, Reagan implies, if Martin Treptow can act so courageously and so self-lessly, we can do the same. His logic is somewhat unclear because the connection between Martin Treptow and ordinary Americans of 1981 is rather tenuous (as Reagan concedes), but the emotional power of the heroism of Martin Treptow, whom reporters were sent scurrying to research, carries the argument.

A more recent president, Bill Clinton, also used *pathos*. Addressing an audience of the nation's governors about his welfare plan, Clinton closed his remarks by referring to a conversation he had had with a welfare mother who had gone through the kind of training program Clinton was advocating. Asked by Clinton whether she thought that such training programs should be mandatory, the mother said, "I sure do." Clinton in his remarks explained what she said when he asked her why:

"Well, because if it wasn't, there would be a lot of people like me home watching the soaps because we don't believe we can make anything of ourselves anymore. So you've got to make it mandatory." And I said, "What's the best thing about having a job?" She said, "When my boy goes to school, and they say, 'What does your mama do for a living?' he can give an answer."

Clinton counts on the emotional power in that anecdote to set up his conclusion: "We must end poverty for Americans who want to work. And we must do it on terms that dignify all of the rest of us, as well as help our country to work better. I need your help, and I think we can do it."